

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,021	02/14/2002	Jun Azuma	32739M073	32739M073 5632	
441	7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER		
SMITH, GAMBRELL & RUSSELL, LLP 1850 M STREET, N.W., SUITE 800			RODEE, CHRISTOPHER D		
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1756		

DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1. is	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/074,021	AZUMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher D RoDee	1756			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of 18 NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	o(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day I apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from wause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Dec	<u>cember 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	<i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	÷ , ,	• •			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
	miller. Note the attached Office	ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pa) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Applicati y documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1756

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 19 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 19 specifies the wear resistance is determined by the manner in which the apparatus is used as evidence by the drum driving time and drum peripheral speed parameters. As noted previously, "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus." See Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987). Claim 19 thus fails to properly further limit claim 1 because the manner in which the apparatus is used in this claim does not provide any further structural limitation on the apparatus.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 11-13, 15-17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 has been amended to specify "the toner image" at the second line of the new "wherein" clause. There is no prior recitation of a "toner image" in the claim and it is

Application/Control Number: 10/074,021

Art Unit: 1756

consequently unclear where the first roller is located because this feature is dependent on the position of the "toner image". Clarification is requested.

Remarks

The Examiner notes that instant claim 1 has been amended to specify "paper rollers for paper transferring". It is apparent from the limitations in the recently added "wherein" clause that these rollers are not made of paper but are actually made of POM and EPDM, as specified. It is further apparent that these are the same rollers refered to as "paper transporting roller(s). later in the claims. The Examiner also notes that the cleaning means in the added "wherein" clause refers to the "cleaning means for removing paper powders". Although these limitations would be understood by the artisan the Examiner requests that applicants consider minor editorial amendments to add further clarity to the claims.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/074,021

Art Unit: 1756

21 Page 4

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Christopher D RoDee whose telephone number is 571-272-1388. The

examiner can normally be reached on most weekdays from 6 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mark Huff can be reached on 571-272-1385. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdr

4 March 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER